PEER REVIEW GUIDELINES

*The comments are meant to help students meaningfully engage with the material, and the following questions guide students in providing more concrete feedback to the writer.*

**What do look for…**

Mark the names of the constructs/variables each time they are referenced.

-Make sure they are in the title, introduction, methods, and implications section

Mark what is known from the literature review about the association of interest.

Mark the gap, contradiction or next steps identified in the existing literature that this research project is intended to bridge.

Use what is written in the whole introduction section and make an outline of what is said. Do the points go from general to specific? Does it makes sense why this research is needed?

Check/confirm citations (at least 5, but many times this should be more like 10 or more).

Comment on what if any details may be missing from the methods section.

Mark the presence of an implications section and provide ideas for how to better describe or consider implications of the proposed research.

**Answer the following questions with as much detail as possible.**

1. Does this seem like a feasible project? What are the potential problems that you can see the writer running into?

2. What are the best parts of this research plan? Which sections need more work?